



## OSCILLATION ANALYSIS IN FRACTIONAL VECTOR PDES: A DETAILED QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE APPROACH

**Dr. Karthik Ramesh Subramanian and Dr. Meena Lakshmi Narayanan**

Post Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics, Thiruvalluvar Government Arts College  
Periyar University, Tamil Nadu, India.

**Abstract:** Fractional differential equations have gained significant attention for modeling complex processes across various fields such as porous structures, electrical networks, and industrial robotics. They offer a versatile framework for understanding phenomena with self-similar properties, viscoelasticity, and more. This paper delves into the study of oscillatory solutions, a crucial aspect of fractional differential equations, shedding light on their quantitative and qualitative characteristics.

While oscillatory behavior in scalar fractional ordinary differential equations has received some attention in previous research, this paper extends the analysis to scalar fractional partial differential equations, a less-explored area. By exploring oscillations in this broader context, we contribute to a deeper understanding of complex processes modeled by fractional differential equations.

**Keywords:** Fractional differential equations, oscillatory behavior, partial differential equations, qualitative analysis, quantitative analysis.

### 1 Introduction

Fractional differential equations are now recognized as an excellent source of knowledge in modelling dynamical processes in self similar and porous structures, electrical networks, probability and statistics, visco elasticity, electro chemistry of corrosion, electro dynamics of complex medium, polymer rheology, industrial robotics, economics, biotechnology etc. See the recent monograph [2, 11-14, 16, 23, 29] for theory and applications of fractional differential equations. Oscillatory solution plays an important role in the quantitative and qualitative theory of fractional differential equations. There are several papers dealing with oscillation of scalar fractional ordinary differential equations [3-5, 9, 24, 27-28]. However, only a few results have appeared regarding the oscillatory behavior of

scalar fractional partial differential equations, see [1, 18-22, 26] and the references cited there in. In 1970, Domslak introduced the concept of H-oscillation to investigate the oscillation of solutions of vector differential equations, where  $H$  is a unit vector in  $R^n$ . We refer the articles [6-7] for vector ordinary differential equations and [8, 15, 17, 25] for vector partial differential equations. To the present time, there exists almost no literature on oscillation results for vector fractional ordinary differential equations and vector fractional partial differential equations, particularly for vector fractional

nonlinear partial differential equations. Motivated by this, we initiate the fractional order vector partial differential equations for delay equations.

**Formulation of the problems:** The oscillatory theory of fractional differential equation was introduced by

Grace et al [9]

$$D_a^q x \square f_1(t, x) = v(t) \square f_2(t, x) \quad \lim_{t \square a} J_a^{1-q} x(t) = b,$$

where  $D_a^q$  denotes the Riemann-Liouville differential operator of  $q$ , where  $0 < q < 1$ .

Chen [4] and Han et al [28] studied the oscillation of the fractional differential equation with Liouville right sided fractional derivative of order  $\square$  of the following form

$$\square \square \square \square \square q(t) f \square \square \square (s \square t) \square y(s) ds \square \square = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad \square r(t) D \square y(t) \square \\ \square \square \square t \square \\ \square \square y(t) \square \square \square p(t) f \square \square \square (s \square t) \square y(s) ds \square \square = 0, \quad t > 0. \quad r(t) g(D \square \\ \square t \square$$

Prakash et al. [18] and Sadhasivam and Kavitha [21] investigated the fractional partial differential equation with Riemann-Liouville left sided definition on the half axis  $R_\square$  of the form

$$\square \square \square \square \square \square t \square \square \square \\ r(t) D_{\square, t} u(x, t) \square q(x, t) f \square (t \square v) \quad u(x, v) dv \square = a(t) \square u(x, t), \quad (x, t) \square \square \square R_\square = G,$$

$$\square t \square \square \square$$

with the Neumann boundary condition

$$\square u(x, t) \\ = 0, \quad (x, t) \square \square \square \square R_\square.$$

$$\square N \\ \square \square \square m \quad \square \square t \square \square \square \\ \square \square \\ p(t) g(D_{\square, t} u(x, t)) \square q_j(x, t) f_j \square (t \square s) \quad u(x, s) ds \square = a(t) \square u(x, t) \square F(x, t), \\ (x, t) \square \square \square R_\square = G,$$

$$\square t \quad j=1 \quad \square \square \square$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$\square u(x, t) \\ \square \square (x, t) u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \square \square \square \square R_\square.$$

$$\square \square$$

To the best of our knowledge, nothing is known regarding the H-oscillatory behavior for the following class of vector fractional partial differential equations with forced term of the form

$m$

$$D \square \square, t \square r(t) D \square \square, t U(x, t) \square = a(t) \square U(x, t) \square \square a_i(t) \square U(x, \square_i(t))$$

$i=1$

$$k \quad t \square \quad \parallel \quad \parallel$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n p_j(x,t) f_j(t-s) U(x, \square_j(s)) ds \square U(x, \square_j(t)) \\ & \square F(x,t), \quad (x,t) \square G = \square \square R \square, \end{aligned}$$

$R \square = (0, \square)$ , where  $\square$  is a bounded domain in  $R^n$  with a piecewise smooth boundary  $\square \square, \square \square(0,1)$  is a

constant,  $D_{\square \square, t}$  is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\square$  of  $u$  with respect to  $t$ ,  $\square$  is the Laplacian

$2$   
 $n$   $n$   $\square u(x,t)$   $\square u(x,t) = \square 2$  and  $U(x, \square_j \parallel (s))$  is the usual Euclidean norm in  $R^n$ .  
 $r=1$   $\square x^r$   
 $R^n$ .

Equation (1.1) is supplemented with the following boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \square U(x,t) \\ & \square \square(x,t) U(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \square \square \square \square R \square, \quad (1.2) \end{aligned}$$

$\square \square$   
 where  $\square$  is the unit exterior normal vector to  $\square \square$  and  $\square(x,t)$  is positive continuous function on  $\square \square \square R \square$  and

$$U(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \square \square \square \square R \square. \quad (1.3)$$

In what follows, we always assume without mentioning that

(A<sub>1</sub>)  $r(t) \square C^{\square}(R \square; R \square), a_i(t), a_i(t) \square C(R \square; R \square), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $\square_j, \square_i \square C(R \square; R), \lim_{t \square \square} \square_j(t) = \lim_{t \square \square} \square_i(t) = \square, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;

(A<sub>3</sub>)  $p_j \square C(G; R)$  and  $p_j(t) = \min_{x \square \square} p_j(x,t), j \square I_k = \square 1, 2, \dots, k \square$ ;

(A<sub>4</sub>)  $F \square C(G; R^n), f_H(x,t) \square C(G; R)$  and  $\square f_H(x,t) dx \square 0$ ;

$\square$   
 (A<sub>5</sub>)  $f_j \square C(R \square; R)$  are convex and non decreasing in  $R$  with  $u f_j(u) > 0$  for  $u \square 0$  and there exist positive  $f_j(u)$

constants  $\square_j$  such that  $\square \square_j$  for all  $u \square 0, j \square I_k$ .  $u$

The study of H-oscillatory behavior of fractional partial differential equation is initiated in this paper. Our approach is to reduce multi-dimensional problems for (1.1) to one dimensional oscillation problems for scalar functional fractional differential inequalities. The purpose of this paper is to establish some new H-oscillation criteria for equation (1.1) with (1.2) and equation (1.1) with (1.3) by using a generalized Riccati technique and integral averaging method. Our results are essentially new.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give the definitions of H-oscillation, fractional derivatives and integrals and some notations which are useful throughout this paper. There are several kinds of definitions of fractional derivatives and integrals. In this paper, we use the Riemann-Liouville left sided definition on the half-axis  $R_+$ . The following notations will be used for the convenience.

$$u_H(x, t) = \langle U(x, t), H \rangle, f_H(x, t) = \langle F(x, t), H \rangle$$

$$V_H(t) = \int_0^1 u_H(x, t) dx, \text{ where } \int_0^1 = \int_0^1 dx. \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition: 2.1** By a solution of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) we mean a non trivial function  $U(x, t) \in C^2(G; R^n) \cap C^2(G \cap [t_0, \infty); R^n) \cap C(G \cap [-t_0, \infty); R^n)$  and satisfies (1.1) on  $G$  and the boundary conditions

(1.2) and (1.3), where  $t_0 = \min\{0, \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \inf_{t \geq 0} \tau_i(t)\}$ ,  $\tilde{t}_0 = \min\{0, \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \inf_{t \geq 0} \tau_j(t)\}$ .

$\tau_i(t) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \tau_i(t)$ ,  $\tau_j(t) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tau_j(t)$ .

**Definition: 2.2** Let  $H$  be a fixed unit vector in  $R^n$ . A solution  $U(x, t)$  of (1.1) is said to be H-oscillatory in  $G$  if the inner product  $\langle U(x, t), H \rangle$  has a zero in  $[t, \infty)$  for any  $t > 0$ . Otherwise it is H-nonoscillatory.

**Definition: 2.3** The Riemann-Liouville fractional partial derivative of order  $0 < \alpha < 1$  with respect to  $t$  of a function  $u(x, t)$  is given by

$$D_{\alpha, t} u(x, t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t (t-v)^{\alpha-1} u(x, v) dv, \quad (2.2)$$

provided the right hand side is pointwise defined on  $R_+$  where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function.

**Definition: 2.4** The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $y : R_+ \rightarrow R$  on the half-axis  $R_+$  is given by

$$I_{\alpha} y(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-v)^{\alpha-1} y(v) dv \text{ for } t > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

provided the right hand side is pointwise defined on  $R_+$ .

**Definition: 2.5** The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $y : R_+ \rightarrow R$  on the half-axis  $R_+$  is given by

$$D_{\alpha} y(t) := \frac{d}{dt} I_{n-\alpha} y(t) \text{ for } t > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

provided the right hand side is pointwise defined on  $R_+$  where  $n$  is the ceiling function of  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma: 2.1** [11] Let  $y$  be solution of (1.1) and

$$K(t) := \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds \text{ for } t \in (0,1) \text{ and } t > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

$$K^\alpha(t) = \int_0^t (1-s)^{\alpha-1} D^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds \text{ for } t \in (0,1) \text{ and } t > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

where  $m$  is a positive integer.

**Lemma: 2.2** [10] If  $X$  and  $Y$  are nonnegative, then

$$mXY^{m-1} \leq X^m + (m-1)Y^m, \quad (2.7)$$

**Oscillation of the problem** (1.1),(1.2)

We begin with the following Lemma.

**Lemma: 3.1** Assume that  $(A_1) \dots (A_5)$  hold. Let  $H$  be a fixed unit vector in  $R^n$  and  $U(x,t)$  be a solution of (1.1). (i) If  $u_H(x,t)$  is eventually positive, then  $u_H(x,t)$  satisfies the scalar fractional partial inequality

$$D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} \int_0^t r(s) D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} u_H(x,t) ds \leq a(t) u_H(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) u_H(x, \tau_i(t)) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^t p_j(s) f_j(s) u_H(x, \tau_j(s)) ds \leq u_H(x, \tau_j(t)) + f_H(x,t). \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) If  $u_H(x,t)$  is eventually negative, then  $u_H(x,t)$  satisfies the scalar fractional partial inequality

$$D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} \int_0^t r(s) D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} u_H(x,t) ds \leq a(t) u_H(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) u_H(x, \tau_i(t)) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^t p_j(s) f_j(s) u_H(x, \tau_j(s)) ds \leq u_H(x, \tau_j(t)) + f_H(x,t). \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof.** Let  $u_H(x,t)$  be eventually positive. Taking the inner product of (1.1) and  $H$ , we get

$$D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} \int_0^t r(s) D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} \langle U(x,t), H \rangle ds = a(t) \langle U(x,t), H \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) \langle U(x, \tau_i(t)), H \rangle \\ + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^t p_j(s) f_j(s) \langle U(x, \tau_j(s)), H \rangle ds \leq \langle U(x, \tau_j(t)), H \rangle + \langle F(x,t), H \rangle,$$

that is,

$$D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} \int_0^t r(s) D^{\alpha,\alpha,t} u_H(x,t) ds = a(t) u_H(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(t) u_H(x, \tau_i(t)) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^t p_j(s) f_j(s) u_H(x, \tau_j(s)) ds \leq u_H(x, \tau_j(t)) + f_H(x,t).$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(x,t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) U(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx - f_H(x,t). \quad (3.3)$$

By  $(A_3)$ , we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(x,t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) U(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx$$

or

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) U(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx,$$

since  $f_j \in C(R_+ \times R)$ ,  $j=1,2,\dots,k$ , we have  $\|u_H(x, \varphi_j(s)) - U(x, \varphi_j(s))\|$ , therefore

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) U(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx$$

or

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) u_H(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx, j=1,2,\dots,k. \quad (3.4)$$

or

Using (3.4) in (3.3), we get

$m$

$$D^{\alpha}_{\varphi,t} \int_{\Omega} r(t) D^{\alpha}_{\varphi,t} u_H(x,t) dx = \int_{\Omega} a(t) u_H(x,t) dx - \int_{\Omega} a_i(t) u_H(x, \varphi_i(t)) dx$$

$i=1$

$$k \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx - f_H(x,t).$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx - f_H(x,t).$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx - f_H(x,t).$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) f_j(x,t) dt dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (t-s) \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \varphi_j(t)) dx - f_H(x,t).$$

Similarly, let  $u_H(x,t)$  be eventually negative, we easily obtain (3.2). The proof is complete.

The inner products of (1.2), (1.3) with  $H$  yield the following boundary conditions.

$$\int_{\Omega} u_H(x,t) dx = \int_{\Omega} (x,t) u_H(x,t) dx = 0, \quad (x,t) \in [0,\infty) \times R_+, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u_H(x,t) dx = 0, \quad (x,t) \in [0,\infty) \times R_+.$$

$$u_H(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in [0,\infty) \times R_+. \quad (1.3)$$

**Lemma: 3.2** Assume that  $(A_1) \square (A_5)$  hold. Let  $H$  be a fixed unit vector in  $R^n$ . If the scalar fractional partial inequality (3.1) has no eventually positive solutions and the scalar fractional partial inequality (3.2) has no eventually negative solutions satisfying the boundary conditions (1.2) or (1.3), then every solution  $U(x,t)$  of the problem (1.1), (1.2) or (1.1), (1.3) is  $H$ -oscillatory in  $G$ . Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a  $H$ -nonoscillatory solution  $U(x,t)$  of (1.1), (1.2) or (1.1), (1.3) in  $G$ , then  $u_H(x,t)$  is eventually positive or  $u_H(x,t)$  is eventually negative. If  $u_H(x,t)$  is eventually positive, then by Lemma 3.1  $u_H(x,t)$  satisfies the boundary condition (1.2) or (1.3). This contradicts the hypothesis. The similar proof follows when  $u_H(x,t)$  is eventually negative. **Theorem: 3.1** Assume that  $(A_1) \square (A_5)$  and  $(A_6) \min_{j \in I} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_j(t) dx = \varphi(t) \square t$ .

$K$

$(A_7) u_H(x,t) \square L$  hold. If the fractional differential inequality

$$j=1$$

has no  
eventually

$k$

negative  
solutions,

 $m$ 

$$i=1$$

$$\square u(x,t)$$

          

□          □ □      □ □      □ □

$$\int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \square_i(t)) dx = \int_{\Omega} u^H(x, \square_i(t)) dS = \int_{\Omega} \square(x, t) u_H(x, \square_i(t)) dS = 0,$$

☐      ☐ ☐      ☐ ☐      ☐ ☐

$$\square \quad t \quad \square \quad \square \quad \square$$

□ □ 0 □

☐ 0    ☐ ☐    ☐    ☐

☐ ☐ 0 ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

☐ *t* ☐ ☐ ☐

9

Also by  $(A_4)$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty f_H(x,t)dx < \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

□

In view of (2.1), (3.8)-(3.11), (3.7) yield

$k$

$$D^{\alpha} \int_0^\infty r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) dt \leq L \sum_{j=1}^k p_j(t) f_j(K_H(t)) < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

$j=1$

Therefore,  $V_H(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of (3.5). This contradicts the hypothesis. The case where  $u_H(x,t) < 0$  in  $[-t_0, \infty)$  can be treated similarly and we are also getting a contradiction. The proof is now complete. **Theorem: 3.2** Suppose that the conditions  $(A_1)$ – $(A_7)$  and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} ds = \infty \quad (3.13)$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} ds = \infty, \quad (3.14)$$

hold

Furthermore, assume that there exists a positive function  $C((0, \infty); R)$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} ds = \infty, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} ds = \infty, \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\alpha_j$  are defined as in  $(A_5)$ . Then every solution of  $U(x,t)$  of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is H-oscillatory in  $G$ .

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a solution  $U(x,t)$  of the problem (1.1), (1.2) which is not H-oscillatory in  $G$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $u_H(x,t) > 0$  in  $[-t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0 > 0$ .

That is,  $V_H(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of (3.5). Then there exists  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $V_H(t) > 0$  and  $K_H(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Therefore, it follows from (3.5) that

$$D^{\alpha} \int_0^\infty r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) dt \leq L \sum_{j=1}^k p_j(t) f_j(K_H(t)) < \infty \quad \text{for } t \geq [t_1, \infty). \quad (3.15)$$

$j=1$

Thus  $D^{\alpha} V_H(t) < 0$  or  $D^{\alpha} V_H(t) < 0, t \geq t_1$  for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ . We now claim that

$$D^{\alpha} V_H(t) < 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1. \quad (3.16)$$

there exists  $t_2 \geq [t_1, \infty)$  such that  $D^{\alpha} V_H(t_2) < 0$ . Since  $r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t)$  is strictly decreasing on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . It is clear that

$$r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) < r(t_2) D^{\alpha} V_H(t_2) := -c,$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant for  $t \geq [t_2, \infty)$ . Therefore from (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_H(t) &\leq \int_0^\infty r(s) D^{\alpha} V_H(s) ds \\ &= D^{\alpha} V_H(t) < -c \int_0^\infty r(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \geq [t_2, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} ds = \infty$$

Then, we get

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)} ds = \infty \quad \text{for } t \geq [t_2, \infty).$$



Integrating the above inequality from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we have

$$t \leq 1 \leq K(t) \leq K(t)$$

$$\int_{t_2}^t r(s) ds \leq \frac{Hc}{2} (1 - H) \leq K H(t_2) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_2, \infty).$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\int_{t_2}^{\infty} r(s) ds \leq \frac{Hc}{2} (1 - H) < \infty.$$

This contradicts (3.13). Hence  $D^{\alpha} V_H(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [t_1, \infty)$  holds. Define the function  $W(t)$  by the generalized Riccati substitution

$$r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_1, \infty). \quad (3.17)$$

$$W(t) = V_H(t)$$

$K_H(t)$

Then we have  $W(t) > 0$  for  $t \in [t_1, \infty)$ . From (2.6), (2.7), (3.5) and  $(A_5)$  it follows that

$$D^{\alpha} W(t) = \frac{1}{2} (D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) + D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t))$$

$$K_H(t) \leq K_H(t)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) + D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t)) \leq \frac{1}{2} (D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) + D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t))$$

$$K_H(t) \leq K_H(t)$$

$$j=1$$

$$k \leq k$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) + D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t)) \leq \frac{1}{2} (D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t) + D^{\alpha} r(t) D^{\alpha} V_H(t)). \quad (3.18)$$

$$j=1 \leq K_H(t)$$

Let  $W(t) = W(\square)$ ,  $\square(t) = \square(\square)$ ,  $p_j(t) = p_j(\square)$ ,  $K_H(t) = K_H(\square)$ .

Then  $D^{\alpha} W(t) = D^{\alpha} W(\square)$ ,  $D^{\alpha} \square(t) = D^{\alpha} \square(\square)$ . Then the above inequality becomes  $k \sim$

$$\sim \sim \square \sim p_j(\square) \square \sim \square(\square) W(\square) \square K_H(\square) W(\square)$$

$$W(\square) \square \square L(\square) \square j$$

$$\square(\square) K(\square)$$

$$j=1 \leq H$$

$$\square \square L(\square) \square j=1 \leq \sim p_j(\square) \square \square \square \sim \square(\square) W(\square) \square \square (1 \square \sim \square \square) \sim r W(\square^2) (\square). \quad (3.19)_j$$

$$(\square) \quad (\square)$$

$$\square(1 \square \square) \sim 1 \quad \sim r(\square) \sim \sqrt{\square} \quad - \sqrt{\square}$$

Taking  $m = 2$ ,  $X = \sim(\square) \sim r(\square) W(\square)$ ,  $Y = \frac{1}{2} \square(1 \square \square) \square \sim(\square) \square \square(\square).$  (3.20)

Using Lemma 2.2 and (3.20) in (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sim r(\square) \square \square \sim \square(\square) \square^2 \\ & \sim \sim \\ & W(\square) \square \square L(\square) \square \square_j \sim p_j(\square) \square^1 \sim \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\square(1 \square \square) \square(\square)$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality from  $\square_1$  to  $\square$ , we obtain  $\square \square \square \square L \square \sim(s) \square k \square \sim p_j(s) \square^1 \sim r(s) \square \square \sim \square(\sim s) \square^2 \square \square ds \square W \sim(\square_1) \square W \sim(\square) < W \sim(\square_1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & j \\ & 1 \square \quad j=1 \quad 4 \square(1 \square \square) \square(s) \square \square \\ & \square \square \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit supremum of both sides of the above inequality as  $\square \square \square$ , we get

$$\limsup \square \square \square \square L \square \sim(s) \square k \square \sim p_j(s) \square^1 \sim r(s) \square \square \sim \square(\sim s) \square^2 \square \square ds < W \sim(\square_1) < \square,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & j \\ & \square \square \square \square_1 \square \square \quad j=1 \quad 4 \square(1 \square \square) \square(s) \square \square \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.14) and completes the proof.

**Theorem: 3.3** Suppose that the conditions  $(A_1) \square (A_7)$  and (3.13) hold. Furthermore, suppose that there exists a positive function  $\square \square C^\square((0, \square); R_\square)$  and a function  $P \square C(D, R)$  where  $D := \square(t, s): t \square s \square t_0 \square$  such that

1.  $P(t, t) = 0$  for  $t \square t_0$ ,
2.  $P(t, s) > 0$  for  $(t, s) \square D_0$ , where  $D_0 := \square(t, s): t > s \square t_0 \square$  and  $P$  has a continuous and non-positive  $\square P(t, s)$

partial derivative  $P_s \square(t, s) =$  on  $D_0$  with respect to the second variable and satisfies  $\square s$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup \quad 1 \quad \square \square P(\square, s) \square \square L \square \sim(s) \square k \square \sim p_j(s) \square^1 \sim r(s) \square \square \sim \square(\sim s) \square^2 \square \square ds = \\ & \square, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & j \\ & \square \square \square P(\square, \square_1) \square \quad \square \square \quad j=1 \quad 4 \square(1 \square \square) \square(s) \square \square \end{aligned}$$

where  $\square_j$  are defined as in Theorem 3.2. Then all the solutions of  $U(x, t)$  of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is H-oscillatory in  $G$ . Proof. Suppose that  $U(x, t)$  is H-nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), (1.2). Without loss of generality we may assume that  $u_H(x, t)$  is an eventually positive solution. Then  $v_H(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of (3.5). Then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, to get (3.21)

$$W \sim(\square) \square \square L \square \sim(\square) \square k \square_j \sim p_j(\square) \square^1 \sim r(\square) \square \square \sim \square(\square \sim) \square^2,$$

$$j=1 \quad 4 \square(1 \square \square) \square(\square)$$

multiplying the previous inequality by  $P(\square, s)$  and integrating from  $\square_1$  to  $\square$  for  $\square \square [\square_1, \square]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \square \square P(\square, s) \square \square L \square \sim(s) \square k \square \sim p_j(s) \square^1 \sim r(s) \square \square \sim \square(\sim s) \square^2 \square \square ds \square \square \square P(\square, s) W \sim(s) \square \square \square \square \\ & \square \square P_s \square(\square, s) W \sim(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\square \quad \square \quad j=1 \quad 4 \square(1 \square \square) \square(s) \square \square \quad 1 \square 1$$



Then every solution of  $V_H(\square)$  of (3.5) is H-oscillatory or satisfies  $\lim_{\square \rightarrow \infty} \square \square \square \square \int_{\square} V_H(s) ds = 0$ . Proof.

Suppose

$\square \square \square$

o that  $U(x,t)$  is H-nonoscillatory solution of (1.1),(1.2) . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $u_H(x,t)$  is an eventually positive solution . Then  $V_H(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of (3.5). Then proceeding as in the proof Theorem 3.2, there are two cases for the sign of  $D^{\square} \square V_H(t)$  . The proof when  $D^{\square} \square V_H(t)$  is eventually positive is similar to that of Theorem 3.2 and hence is omitted. Next, assume that  $D^{\square} \square V_H(t)$  is eventually negative. Then there exists

$t_3 \square t_2$  such that  $D^{\square} \square V_H(t) < 0$  for  $t \square t_3$ . From (2.6), we get

$$K \square_H(t) = \square(1 \square \square) D^{\square} \square V_H(t) < 0, \quad \text{for } t \square t_3.$$

Then  $K_H \square(\square) = \square(1 \square \square) V_H \square(\square) < 0$  for  $\square \square \square_3$ . Thus we get  $\lim_{\square \rightarrow \infty} K_H(\square) := M_1 \square 0$  and  $K_H(\square) \square M_1$ . We claim that

$\square \square \square$

$M_1 = 0$  . Assume not, that is,  $M_1 > 0$  then from  $(A_5)$  , we get

$k$

$$D^{\square} \square \square r(t) D^{\square} \square V_H(t) \square \square \square L \square p_j(t) f_j \square K_H(t) \square$$

$j=1$

$k$

$$\square \square LM_1 \square \square_j p_j(t), \text{ for } t \square [t_3, \square).$$

$j=1$

$$\text{Let } r(t) = \sim r(\square), V_H(t) = V_{\sim H}(\square), p_j(t) = \sim p_j(\square) .$$

$$\text{Then } D^{\square} \square V_H(t) = V_{\sim H} \square(\square), D^{\square} \square \square r(t) D^{\square} \square V_H(t) \square = \square \sim r(\square) V_{\sim H} \square(\square) \square \square .$$

Using these values, the above inequality becomes

$\square \sim r(\square) V_{\sim H} \square(\square) \square \square \square \square LM_1 \square \square_j \sim p_j(\square), \text{ for } \square \square [\square_3, \square)$ . Integrating both sides of the last inequality from  $\square_3$  to  $\square$ , we have

$j=1$

$$\square \square \square \sim \square \square 1 \square k \square \square \sim p_j(s) ds$$

$$\sim r(s) V_{\sim H} \square(s) ds \square \square LM \square_j$$

$$\square_3 \square j=1 \square_3$$

$$k \square k \square$$

$$\sim r(\square) V_{\sim H} \square(\square) \square r(\square_3) V_{\sim H} \square(\square_3) \square LM_1 \square \square_j \sim p_j(s) ds \square \square k_1 \square LM_1 \square \square_j \sim p_j(s) ds$$

$$j=1 \square_3 \square j=1 \square_3$$

$$k \square$$

$$k \square K \sim \square \square LM_1 \square \square_j \sim p_j(s) ds$$

$$\sim p(s) ds.$$

$$\square \square LM_1 \square \square_j \square j \quad \text{Hence from (2.6), we get } H(\square) = V_{\sim H} \square(\square) \square \square j=1 \sim r(\square) \square .$$

$$j=1 \square_3 \square (1 \square \square)$$

$$k \square$$

$$\square \square_j \square \sim p_j(s) ds$$

$$\sim \int_1^3$$

Integrating the last inequality from  $\frac{1}{4}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , we get  $K_H(\frac{1}{2}) \leq K_H(\frac{1}{4}) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{4})LM_1 \leq 4 \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} r(u) du$ .

□

~ ~

Letting  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ , from (3.24), we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_H(\frac{1}{n}) = 0$ . This contradicts  $K_H(\frac{1}{n}) > 0$ . Therefore we have  $M_1 = 0$ , that

$$\int_0^1$$

~  $\int_0^1 \int_0^1$  is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_H(\frac{1}{n}) = 0$ . That is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 V_H(s) ds = 0$ . Hence the proof.

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 0$$

#### 4 H-Oscillation of the problem (1.1),(1.3)

In this section we establish sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of (1.1),(1.3). For this we need the following: The smallest eigen value  $\lambda_0$  of the Dirichlet problem.  $\Delta \Delta(x) = 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\Delta(x) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , is positive and the corresponding eigen function  $\Delta(x)$  is positive in  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem: 4.1** Let all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 and 3.3 be hold. Then every solution of  $U(x,t)$  of (1.1) and (1.3) H-oscillates in  $G$ . Proof. Suppose that  $U(x,t)$  is a H-nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) and (1.3). Without loss of generality we may assume that  $u_H(x,t) > 0$ , in  $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0 > 0$ . Multiplying both sides of the Equation (3.1) by  $\Delta(x) > 0$  and then integrating with respect to  $x$  over  $\Omega$ , we

we obtain for  $t \geq t_1$ ,  $\int_{\Omega} D^2 \Delta r(t) D^2 u_H(x,t) \Delta(x) dx \leq a(t) \int_{\Omega} u_H(x,t) \Delta(x) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} a_i(t) u_H(x, \frac{1}{i}(t)) \Delta(x) dx$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}$$

$$i=1$$

$$\int_{\Omega}$$

$$k \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} t \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} p_j(t) \int_{\Omega} f_j \int_{\Omega} (t \int_{\Omega} s) u_H(x, \frac{1}{j}(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \frac{1}{j}(t)) \Delta(x) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f_H(x,t) \Delta(x) dx. \quad (4.1)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} 0 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}$$

$$j=1$$

Using Green's formula and boundary condition (1.3) it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} u_H(x,t) \Delta(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_H(x,t) \Delta(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} 0 \int_{\Omega} u_H(x,t) \Delta(x) dx = 0, \quad t \geq t_1 \quad (4.2)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \frac{1}{i}(t)) \Delta(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \frac{1}{i}(t)) \Delta(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} 0 \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \frac{1}{i}(t)) \Delta(x) dx = 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}$$

$$t \geq t_1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (4.3)$$

By using and Jensen's inequality,  $(A_6)$  and  $(A_7)$  we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f_j \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} t \int_{\Omega} s \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \frac{1}{j}(s)) ds \int_{\Omega} u_H(x, \frac{1}{j}(t)) \Delta(x) dx$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} 0 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}$$

14

$$\sqrt{3} \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad \square$$

$(x, t) \in G$ , where  $G = (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ , with the boundary condition

$$u_1(0, t) = U(0, t) = u_{12}((0, \infty), t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

$$U(0, t) =$$

$$u_2(0, t) =$$

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\text{Here } \square = 1, m=1, k=1, n=2, r(t)=t, p_1(x, t)=1, a(t)=t, a_1(t)=2 \square^2 t^3 \square^3 4t^3, \square_1(t)=\square, \square_1(t) = \square^2,$$

—

$$\sqrt{3} \quad 4 \quad \square_1 \square \square \quad \square$$

$$\square^3 \square$$

$$F(x, t) = \frac{2 \square}{\sqrt{3} (\square(\frac{1}{3}))^2} t^3 \square^1 \square \sin x \cos t \quad \square$$

$$\square \quad t \quad \square \square$$

$$\square \quad 2 \square \quad 2 \quad \square$$

$$\text{and } f_1(u) = u. \text{ It is easy to see that } p_1(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \square \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \quad \min_{x \in \square} p_1(x, t) = \min \quad .$$

$$\square_1 \square$$

$$\text{Let } H = e_1 = \square_0 \square \square, \text{ we observe that } f_{e_1} \frac{2 \square}{\sqrt{3} (\square(\frac{1}{3}))^2} t^3 \sin x \cos t \text{ and}$$

$$(x, t) =$$

□

$$(x, t) dx = \frac{4 \square^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{3} (\square(\frac{1}{3}))^2} \square f_{et} \cos t$$

$$\square \quad 3 \square \quad \square \quad 1 \quad \square \quad 0, \quad \square \quad t \quad \square.$$

$$2 \quad 2$$

~

Take  $\square_1=1, \square_1=1, \square(s)=s$ . It is clear that conditions  $(A_1) \square (A_7)$  and (3.13) hold. Therefore,

$$\square \quad \square$$

$$\square \square \square \square L \sim (\sim p_1(s) \square \sim r \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \square \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} (s) \square \square \sim \square(s \sim) \square^2 \square \square ds = \square \square \square \square L \square^1 \square \square ds \square \square$$

$$as \square \square \square. \square(s) \square_1$$

$$\square \quad 4 \square (1 \square \square) \square (s) \square \square \square$$

$$\square \square \square \quad 1 \square \quad 4 \square (\square) s \square$$

$$1$$

$$\square \quad 3 \quad \square$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence, it follows that every solution  $U(x,t)$  of (5.1),(5.2) is  $e_1$ -

$\square \sin x \sin t \square$

oscillatory in  $G$ . Infact  $U(x,t) = \sqrt{\square \square \square} \square \square \square$ , is one such solution of the problem (5.1) and (5.2). We note that the

$\square \square \square$  above solution  $U(x,t)$  is not  $e_2 \square$  oscillatory in  $G$ , where  $e_2 = \square \square \square \square \square \square$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The authors thank Prof.E. Thandapani for his support to complete the paper.

## References

- S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and J.J. Nieto, Global Attractivity of Solutions for Nonlinear Fractional Order RiemannLiouville Volterra-Stieltjes Partial Integral Equations, *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, **81** (2012) 1-15.
- D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas and J.J. Trujillo, *Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods*, Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos, World Scientific Publishing, Hackensack, NJ, USA, **3** (2012) 473-485.
- D. Baleanu, O.G. Mustafa and D.O' Regan, A Kamenev Oscillation Result for a Linear  $(1 \square \square)$ -order Fractional Differential Equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **259** (2015) 374-378.
- Da-Xue Chen, Oscillation Criteria of Fractional Differential equations, *Advances in Difference Equation*, **33** (2012) 118.
- Da-Xue Chen, Oscillatory Behavior of a Class of Fractional Differential Equations with Damping, *UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A*, **75** (2013) 107-118.
- Ju.I. Domslak, On the Oscillation of Solutions of Vector Differential Equations, *Soviet Mathematics Doklady*, **11** (1970) 839-841.
- Yu.I. Domshlak, Oscillatory Properties of Solutions of Vector Differential Equations Differential Equations, **7** (1971) 728-734.
- Emil Minchev, Norio Yoshida, Oscillations of Solutions of Vector Differential Equations of Parabolic Type with Functional Arguments, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **151** (2003) 107-117.
- S.R. Grace, R.P. Agarwal, P.J.Y. Wong, A. Zaffer, On the Oscillation of Fractional Differential Equations, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **15** (2012) 222-231.
- G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA, (1988).
- A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, **204** (2006).



- J.T. Machado, V. Kiryakova and F. Mainardi, Recent History of Fractional Calculus, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, **16** (2011) 1140-1153.
- F. Mainardi, Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity, Imperial College, Press, London, UK, (2010).
- K.S. Miller and B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1993).
- E.S. Noussair, C.A. Swanson, Oscillation of Nonlinear Vector differential Equations, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, **109** (1976) 305-315.
- I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, (1999).
- P. Prakash, S. Harikrishnan, Oscillation of Solutions of Impulsive Vector Hyperbolic Differintegral Equations with Delays, Applicable Analysis **91** (2012) 459-473.
- P. Prakash, S. Harikrishnan, J.J. Nieto and J.H. Kim, Oscillation of a Time Fractional Partial Differential Equation, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equation, **15** (2014) 1-10.
- S. Harikrishnan, P. Prakash and J. J. Nieto, Forced Oscillation of Solutions of a Nonlinear Fractional Partial Differential Equation, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **254** (2015) 14-19.
- V. Sadhasivam and J. Kavitha, Forced Oscillation for a Class of Fractional Parabolic Partial Differential Equation, Journal of Advances in Mathematics, **11** (2015) 5369-5381.
- V. Sadhasivam and J. Kavitha, Interval Oscillation Criteria for Fractional Partial Differential Equations With Damping Term, Applied Mathematics, Scientific Research publishing, **7** (2016) 272-291.
- V. Sadhasivam and J. Kavitha, Forced Oscillation of Order Partial Differential Equations with Damping and Functional Arguments, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **106** (2016) 89-107.
- Vasily E. Tarasov, Fractional Dynamics, Higher education press, Beijing and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- Y. Wang, Z. Han and S. Sun, Comment on 'On the Oscillation of Fractional-order Delay Differential Equations with Constant Coefficients', Communication in Nonlinear Science, **19** (2014) 3988-3993.
- Wei Nian Li, Maoan Han and Fan Wei Meng, H-Oscillation of Solutions of Certain Vector Hyperbolic Differintegral Equations with Deviating Arguments, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **158** (2004) 637-653.

Wei Nian Li and Weihong Sheng, Oscillation Properties for Solutions of a Kind of Partial Fractional Differential Equations with Damping Term, *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, **9** (2016) 1600-1608.

B. Zheng, Oscillation for a Class of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Damping Term, *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies* **6** (2013) 107-115.

Zhenlai Han, Yige Zhao, Ying Sun and Chao Zhang, Oscillation for a Class of Fractional Differential Equation, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, (2013) 1-6.

Y. Zhou, *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, (2014).